
## Dissolved oxygen impacts in Budd Inlet, South Puget Sound, and the Salish Sea

#### Briefing for EPA – 9/26/13







Mindy Roberts, Anise Ahmed, and Greg Pelletier (Department of Ecology, Environmental Assessment Program)

### How are oxygen and nitrogen related?

 Human activities add nitrogen through wastewater, manure, fertilizer, etc.




 Nitrogen fuels algae blooms in Puget Sound



As algae decomposes, it draws down oxygen


 Fish, and other aquatic life, need oxygen to breathe


Same questions as in Chesapeake Bay, Long Island Sound, Gulf of Mexico



# How do the Water Quality Standards for oxygen (DO) work in Puget Sound?

Oxygen > 7 mg/L (varies by location)







If naturally < 7</li>
 mg/L, total
 human impact
 cannot cause
 oxygen to
 decline more
 than 0.2 mg/L

### What are the key questions for Ecology?

- How much is natural and how much is human?
  - Need sophisticated computer models to distinguish
- How much reduction is needed to meet water quality standards?
- Are permit changes needed to meet waterquality based effluent limits?


## Budd Inlet findings: Human activities cause dissolved oxygen to decline as much as 3 mg/L below natural






## South (and Central) Puget Sound findings: Current human sources cause oxygen to decline as much as 0.4 mg/L

- Wastewater discharges to marine waters have bigger influence than river sources
- South Sound depletions influenced by a combination of:
  - South Sound sources
  - Central Sound sources
  - Other external human sources (North Sound and beyond?)




## Salish Sea findings: Current human sources cause oxygen to decline as much as 0.1 mg/L




- Wastewater discharges to marine waters have bigger influence than river sources
- South and Central Puget Sound reflect greatest impact from human sources

## Salish Sea findings: Future population growth will increase oxygen impacts; ocean makes it even worse






(mg/L of oxygen decline compared with current conditions)









#### Uncertainties

- Inherent model error
  - Similar to other models used to regulate sources
  - Unknowns including climate change and ocean boundary changes
- Future sediment enrichment
  - Model currently has no sediment "model"
  - DO is sensitive to sediment assumptions
  - Our current assumptions are conservative
  - Next phase is to improve enrichment prediction

### Key findings

- Ocean conditions are dominant
- Human impacts are achieving water quality standards in many areas today
- Portions of South and Central Puget Sound are just over the standards today
  - Model uncertainty
  - Standards could face scrutiny
- Budd Inlet impacts must be reduced to meet standards



#### Key messages

- Actions are needed to help Budd Inlet meet standards
- Wastewater discharges are at or approaching levels of regulatory concern in South and Central Puget Sound now and will increase with population
- We do not have enough scientific certainty for immediate regulatory action
- We recommend additional analyses of both models
- When impacts are close to 0.2 mg/L, standards could face scrutiny

#### Project next steps

We invite interested parties to work with us to improve the models

#### Budd Inlet:

- WQP continuing with Deschutes
  River TMDL
- Unclear path for Capitol Lake and Budd Inlet TMDL components
- South/Central Puget Sound and Salish Sea:
  - External review draft reports
    (separate) distributed September 30
  - Joint advisory committee meeting week of October 7
  - Finalize reports in December, January
  - 2014-15 refine Salish Sea model
  - Use refined Salish Sea models to inform regulatory decisions